

20

FYNN, HENRY F.

FYNN, H.E.

4 67/12/1-2

Henry F. Flynn. 8.12.06.

67/12/1-2

The cause of the rebellion is the absence of parental guardianship over the Native population of this Colony, which existed to a certain extent during Sir T. Shepstone's tenure of the Office of S. N. A. Sir T. Shepstone, from a Native point of view, partially filled the place of uncle-guardian [Note. Every Chief has, as it were, a family minister who is a guide, and is generally an uncle, called abnba, and he becomes guardian]. Sir T. Shepstone became a kind of uncle guardian to the Sup. Ch. The uncle guardian is the adviser to the Chieftain and he gets the position from his birth or blood relationship. Sir ~~Xerxes~~ Shepstone did not exercise the full powers of Chieftainship, as a result of this, the Sup. Ch. was not linked to the hereditary Chiefs as parental guardian over them, which insufficiency was substituted by the powers exercised by Sir T. Shepstone as S. N. A. So that, in reality, there never has been that proper link between the Supreme Chieftain and the hereditary Chiefs. The office of Sup. Ch. in its existence up to the present time has only been a monomer or practically only a shadow of what it should be.

The real father^(Sup. Ch.) only showed himself occasionally and then they were always different persons with different opinions. Sir T. Shepstone knew this, so far as he could he kept the power in his own hands. He was jealous of the magistrates.

The shadow of the Sup. Ch. when it appeared, appeared each time as a different person. The officer known as Sup. Ch. was according to an untruth, or spook or ghost. This whole matter can be likened to the present form of Govt. which is continually changing and up-sidedown, with fresh people, unknown by the native, slipping into the control of the Govt., tipping the others out and, in their turn, struggling to tipple out those who have just tipped them out, so that there was a continuous change and no one known.

The foregoing is a cause of the rebellion because it is bad government.

By the Sup. Ch. having a distinct department linked to the Home Govt., he being but linked to the hereditary Chiefs by a permanent deputy or Commiss. of the Sup. Ch., whose duty it would be to constantly carry on visits to the hereditary Chiefs as from the Sup. Ch. This still could be done, though a better man must be found than would have done before. This would be in no case way connected with the permanent S. N. A and his seat in Parliament. It would be necessary to carry out the present duties of S. N. A in his duties between the Sup. Ch. & Parliament and with regard to all ordinary administrative routine between himself and the magistrates as deputy parental

Henry F. Fynn - continues (8.12.06)
 guardians in their respective districts.

In all matters in regard to the Native population, we should distinctly regard them as our children and dictate to them what we consider good for them, starving off all that is not good for them. It is absurd and inconsistent to endeavour to make white people out of black people - they will still be black. They must improve themselves, we must not cause them to overreach themselves, by spending a lot of money to improve an individual or two the others fall back for want of parental guardianship. Why should a few individuals have large amounts spent on them, while the rest are going to the dogs? Any improvement in the Native must be general and the whole mass must be carried forward together. The system at present in vogue of civilizing too quickly, before they are fitted for it, is ruin to them as can always be seen in a short conversation with such of the Natives to as have gone so far ahead to be exempt from the operation of Native law, who invariably become discontented on finding that they cannot attain the full qualities of the European who has had thousands of years to bring him to the condition he is now in. The Native has only been in contact with Europeans for practically 50 years.

The fault is not so much bad control of the Natives as want of control.

70 / p. 176

H.F. Lydon (13.12.13) says the man who actually killed Mbuyazi brought the assegai with which he did so to Mbuyazi ne teku when at Walmsley's. This assegai Garalagoda got later on, but it was looted or lost at Umsinga during Boer War (1899 - 1901).